

**REMARKS**

The claims are 12 to 15 with claims 13 and 14 being withdrawn from consideration.

In the Advisory Action dated November 3, 2008, entry of the amendment of October 22, 2008 was denied because the term "made from aramid" is said to carry a different interpretation from the prior version of claim 12.


Claim 12 has been amended to clarify that the paper, non-woven fabric or fine porous film are made from aramid. Therefore, the composite thereof must also be made from aramid.

The failure of the prior art to suggest a separator as presently claimed, is explained in detail in the response dated October 22, 2008, which response has now been entered.

Favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Shinji NARUSE

By:   
Matthew M. Jacob  
Registration No. 25,154  
Attorney for Applicant

MJ/aas  
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021  
Telephone (202) 721-8200  
Facsimile (202) 721-8250  
November 13, 2008